

LINGUISTIC STRATEGIES EMPLOYED AS TAX EVASION DEVICES IN PAKISTAN: A FORENSIC LINGUISTIC ANALYSIS

Adeel Ahmad

PhD Scholar, Department of English, The University of Azad Jammu and Kashmir Shahida Khalique

Assistant Professor Department of English, The University of Azad Jammu and Kashmir Corresponding email: shahida.khalique1@gmail.com

Abstract

Tax evasion is a fiscal crime which is carried out through the thoughtful action of escaping from obeying the due tax compulsions, subsequently resulting in giving substantial economic loss to the domestic economy. As part of the continuous rather multifaceted problem in Pakistan, it positions as a severe hazard to the financial freedom and steadiness thus weakens the economic integrity of the country. This study pursues to scrutinize the language based scopes of the issue of tax evasion in Pakistan with the help of the forensic linguistic apparatus, through illustration of data from the two main sources. The first in this regard is the administrative source that comprises of fifty taxes related cases, along with the related financial forms and official documents of legal measures introduced by the tax monitoring authorities in accordance with the legal procedures. The second source in this way contains overtly available legal judgements on tax associated cases, retrieved from the official websites of concerned legal organizations. The study employs a Corpus-Based Forensic Linguistics methodology to investigate the data, employing LancsBox which is powerful corpus software for the quantitative investigation of the linguistic patterns. The findings reveal that tax related discourse is marked by distinctive linguistic and rhetorical strategies. These include the strategic use of deceptive language and subtle discursive maneuvers employed by the individuals to obscure or manipulate financial realities and contribute to fiscal crimes. The analysis uncover the dimensions of linguistic techniques that reflect intentional ambiguity, obfuscation, and rhetorical framing tactics that contribute to the concealment of tax liabilities and the broader phenomenon of financial deception.

Keywords: Tax evasion, linguistic strategies, fiscal crimes, forensic linguistics, corpus-based analysis

1. INTRODUCTION

Tax evasion refers to the intentional act of avoiding tax obligations which leads to substantial losses for the national economy. As defined by Levi and Suddle (1989), tax evasion encompasses the reduction, avoidance, or deferral of the tax liabilities by the taxpaying entities. The implications of widespread tax evasion are dire. This is based on the fact that it leads to a weakened economy, increased public suffering, and a stagnation of social progress ultimately preventing a nation from evolving into a prosperous and dynamic society. The consequences of such evasion are thus far reaching in a developing country, for instance, diminishing government revenue, eroding public trust in the tax system, and intensifying the income inequality among masses. This results in forcing the governments to rely on the loans and aids from international financial agencies which in the long run cannot eradicate the problems rather deepen them.

Likewise, taking the instance of Pakistan being an underdeveloped economy, the issue of tax evasion continues to pose a serious threat to both the economic stability and sustainable development by undermining the financial integrity of the state. According to the *World Bank Doing Business Report 2024*, Pakistan ranks 173rd globally in terms of ease of tax payment. This is an obvious sign of the small percentage of the people conforming to the tax requirements in Pakistan. This grade shows a persistent disposition amongst people of Pakistan to avoid taxes through numerous means effectively. The absence of a vigorous tax culture remains a tenacious challenge in Pakistan, despite so many years ahead of independence owing to several causes. In this regard, several factors may well add to the short tax obedience rate in



Pakistan, including organizational inefficiencies, structural loopholes and wider socio-cultural dynamics. Despite numerous policy interferences and managerial reforms presented by the sequential governments, the issue of tax evasion is persistently and largely unsettled. As a consequence, the extremely entrenched culture of tax evasion and avoidance has harshly obstructed Pakistan's progress path, lowering it to the position of a developing country in an era that is defined as era of economic revolution and economic progress. This continuous failure to restructure the taxation system of Pakistan has ominously delayed the social progression and the wider financial uplift of the country entirely.

World Bank (2024) reports that tax evasion has remained a fundamental and persistent economic challenge in Pakistan since the country's independence, significantly contributing to its prolonged underdevelopment. Despite the implementation of various managerial and administrative reforms over the decades, successive governments have failed to eradicate or even substantially curtail this deeply entrenched issue. Its persistent nature has inflicted considerable and lasting damage on the national economy, embedding itself within the structural fabric of the fiscal system. Bukhari & Haq (2014) are of the view that tax authorities lack the power to implement tax enforcement in Pakistan due to the fact that people use their political and economic positions successfully to evade taxes in Pakistan. In this way, the individuals employ a variety of deceptive strategies and planned mechanisms to avoid the due taxation processes, often exploiting systemic weaknesses and loopholes, as in the case of underdeveloped economy of Pakistan. Siddiqui & Qureshi (2017) considered that fiscal audits and scrutiny of the financial documentation have served as conventional tools for addressing this issue but have not been proved sufficient in tackling it completely. So, there arose a need of consideration of this issue through the use of interdisciplinary approach of study which maintained the sheer need to take up the apparatus of language in dealing with it. This is because the deliberate use of the language is a tool to evade taxes. In this regard, numerous studies have highlighted that the deliberate misuse of language in the financial declarations by the taxpayers often contributes significantly to the tax evasion resulting in creating the economic instability. Rashid (2020) proposed the need for the linguistic scrutiny of the issue alongside financial audits. This study considers the language based consideration of the issue of tax evasion through the apparatus of corpus based forensic linguistic approach.

1.1 RESEARCH QUESTIONS

- 1. What are the key linguistic strategies used by tax evaders in Pakistan to conceal income and minimize tax liabilities?
- 2. How do these linguistic strategies work in the tax discourse and contribute to the tax evasion in Pakistan?

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

Fitzpatrick (2012) believes that a considerable body of research has examined tax evasion through financial and economic lenses, a growing number of studies have begun to explore the phenomenon from a linguistic perspective as well. Tax evasion is basically not only a financial matter but a deliberate financial crime that needs to be considered under criminal proceedings (LI, 2008). Likewise as a financial crime such as the tax evasion often involves the thoughtful manipulation of language by exploiting the weakness of system in order to misrepresent or conceal financial information (Das & Dey, 2019). This intersection between language and deception necessitates the application of the forensic linguistics. Forensic linguistics is a field that systematically analyzes the ways in which language is employed by individuals to obscure truth, evading responsibility and exploiting the legal ambiguities within the taxation process (Coulthard & Johnson, 2007).

Siddiqui and Qureshi (2017) investigated the linguistic dimensions of tax-related cases involving businesspersons in Karachi, Pakistan. Their study revealed that the taxpayers



frequently employ ambiguous terminology in their financial statements and tax declarations which include the terms such as "adjustments." "expenses." and "other source incomes". These expressions are often left undefined or deliberately vague, making it difficult for the tax authorities to ascertain the actual financial status of the individual or a business. The strategic use of such language appears to be aimed at confusing investigators and concealing the true financial picture, thereby enabling tax evasion through linguistic manipulation. Building on this notion, Ahmed (2019) explored a broader range of linguistic strategies used by individuals to distort the financial realities of their business dealings in Pakistan. He highlighted the frequent use of the hedging devices in tax related communications, such as "I think," "I believe," or "I am not sure," which are commonly employed to introduce uncertainty and reduce the speaker's accountability. Ahmed also emphasized the use of passive constructions in communications, for example, "The return was not filed" instead of "I failed to file the return" as a means of distancing the speaker from any wrongdoing and avoiding direct responsibility. Such strategies are instrumental in minimizing the perceived severity of noncompliance and shielding individuals from further inquiry. Similarly, Khan (2021) confirmed the widespread use of the passive voice and evasive phrasing in the tax evasion cases. He illustrated how individuals frequently reframe admissions of fault using indirect constructions for example, stating "The return was not submitted" instead of "I did not submit the return." Such linguistic strategies are consciously adopted to deflect blame and obscure agency, thus facilitating deception and fraud in tax matters. Also, Asia, Malik, and Tariq (2019) underscored the significance of these passive constructions in the tax evasion discourse. According to their study, the passive voice is frequently used to reduce the apparent gravity of financial misconduct and obscure the identity of the individual responsible, thereby diminishing the perceived culpability.

Likewise, Rashid (2020) analyzed tax evasion methods employed through linguistic approaches among large corporations in Pakistan, noting a prevalent reliance on misleading fiscal terminology and the complex financial jargon. He explained that terms such as "development costs" and "consultancy charges" were cited as examples of intentionally opaque language used to obscure the true nature of business activities and expenditures. Rashid also identified the use of ambiguous accounting techniques, such as inflating expenses, to reduce taxable income. He argued that corporations often exploit every available linguistic and technical loophole during financial reporting to evade responsibility and minimize tax liability. Equally, Farooq and Mirza (2020) further highlighted the role of narrative inconsistency as a tool for tax evasion. They observed that many taxpayers engage in creating contradictory statements and vague explanations in lieu of their tax affairs, often altering their narratives across different stages of investigation. This inconsistency, they argued, generates confusion and hinders the ability of tax auditors to accurately assess the case, thereby allowing evaders to escape accountability.

Shah (2019) introduced the concept of strategic ambiguity in the presentation of the financial data in Pakistan. He noted that business entities often frame their financial information in a deliberately unclear manner to prevent tax authorities from drawing definitive conclusions. Terms like "miscellaneous expenses" and "certain other transactions" serve as examples of vague language employed to obscure potentially taxable activities, ultimately functioning as a calculated attempt to conceal the legitimacy of financial transactions. On the other hand, Khan and Ali (2021) discussed the tactic of the financial document falsification, which they identified as a commonly used linguistic and numerical strategy among experienced tax evaders. This involves the deliberate misrepresentation of financial data including falsified figures for income, expenses, and business turnover to disguise the actual tax liability. Such practices are particularly common among longstanding taxpayers of Pakistan who have developed expertise



in exploiting the systemic loopholes within the taxation framework. Finally, Reid (2020) examined the general discrepancies between oral and written statements made by tax evaders. His analysis revealed that individuals often tailor their narratives differently depending on the medium, with oral interviews and written declarations frequently containing conflicting information. Such inconsistencies, once subjected to forensic linguistic analysis, can expose underlying patterns of deception and reinforce suspicions of evasion and can be helpful for dealing with this issue.

3. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This section outlines the methodology employed for the present study. the data was collected and analyzed using the following techniques;

3.1 Data Collection

Data has been collected from the two major sources, which are equally important for the building up of a broad language based analysis of the issue of tax evasion in Pakistan. Initially, the selection of thirty salient tax related cases showing the aspect of tax evasion, along with some other related financial papers has been managed from the relevant tax monitoring authorities. These constituents comprise accounts of legal proceedings instigated against persons, association of persons or establishments found in violation of taxation laws. Second, publicly accessible court reported judgments related to tax evasion, available on the official websites of the judicial bodies in Pakistan, have been incorporated into the dataset. The collected data consists of a wide range of official documents such as legal correspondence, tax returns, assessment orders, audit reports, financial disclosures, and court filings all of which reflect various stages and aspects of the tax process in Pakistan. To maintain analytical clarity, only directly relevant documents have been selected, ensuring that the dataset represents a wide array of evasion techniques and linguistic behaviors employed by the tax offenders in Pakistan.

3.2 Data Analysis

Once the data has been compiled, it has been converted into plain text format (.txt) to facilitate computational analysis using LancsBox, corpus linguistics software developed at Lancaster University in the United Kingdom, Known for its robust capacity to process large textual datasets efficiently and accurately. LancsBox is well suited to this research, which involves analyzing millions of words across multiple documents. The collected texts has been organized into sub-corpora based on the typology of the evasion tactics such as underreporting, non-declaration of assets, liability shifting, concealment, manipulation of accounts, and fraudulent deductions etc. Using the annotation features within LancsBox, the researcher has tagged the specific linguistic elements of interest, including deceptive jargon, ambiguous terminology, syntactic structures, and rhetorical patterns associated with tax evasion. Each document has also been supplemented with metadata (such as case number, document type, judicial forum, and date) to support organized and context sensitive analysis.

The linguistic analysis combines both quantitative and qualitative approaches. Quantitatively, the study has utilized LancsBox's keyword analysis, word frequency analysis, collocation analysis, and concordance functions to detect recurring linguistic patterns and rhetorical strategies in tax discourse. These tools have helped to identify the use of language trickeries to obscure facts, mislead authorities, or deflect accountability. The final phase of analysis involves a thematic and qualitative examination of the computational output. The researcher has interpreted the key linguistic findings by identifying specific terms, stylistic markers, and narrative tactics unique to tax evasion contexts and how the evaders have been using these tricks in the communications. Elements of authorship attribution have also been explored by examining consistent linguistic patterns within individual cases. Furthermore, the study highlights how rhetorical language has been deployed to influence perception, justify financial misrepresentation or manipulate procedural outcomes. The research aims to demonstrate the



practical applicability of the forensic linguistics techniques in uncovering the tax-related fraud and propose its integration into investigative and judicial processes. Further the research also adheres strictly to the ethical standards throughout all stages of data handling and analysis. All sensitive legal and financial information has been treated with confidentiality, and data privacy protocols have been strictly followed. Institutional permissions have been obtained by ensuring that the study upholds the highest ethical norms in the collection, analysis, and reporting of data, ensuring transparency, accountability, and scholarly integrity as part of the research methodology.

4. DATA ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS

The primary objective of this study is to uncover and interpret the distinctive linguistic features and patterns embedded within the financial documents related to tax evasion in Pakistan through the analysis of the corpus pertaining to the study. Employing *LancsBox*, a powerful corpus linguistics tool, the analysis focuses on identifying the lexical, grammatical, and discursive strategies used by the taxpayers and tax authorities. The initial phase involves the total corpus for this study which is presented as;

Table: 1 Total Corpus for the Study

Name	Language	Texts	Tokens	Additional information
Tax Files	English	25	528,028	Types: 7504 Lemmas: 5444

The above table shows the numbers of text selected for the study and the corpus size. A total of 25 texts comprising 528,028 words make the corpus of the study, with 7504 types and 5444 lemmas making it substantial quantity for analysis.

4.1 Lexical Choices

The primary task was the extraction of the high-frequency lexical choices and identification of the keywords with their forensic and financial significance. Additionally, the choice of phrases also determines the language manipulation incorporated in the tax related corpus. Table below presents the lexical choices combined with the situational context of their use in the corpus.

Table: 2 Evasion Related Keywords with Context

Sr	Keywords	Frequency	Contextual Connotation
No.			
01	adjournment	221	strategic delay employed by taxpayers
02	evasion	211	intended tax avoidance
03	concealment	195	hide with intention to disguise
04	unexplained	181	mysterious financial deeds
05	nominal	153	insignificant business
06	default	140	offense committed
07	discrepancy	131	deviation from procedures
08	approximately	129	not sure about income
09	inherited	121	responsibility shifting
10	non-compliance	119	violation or non-attendance

The table above shows the notable terms such as *adjournment*, *evasion*, *concealment*, *unexplained*, *nominal*, *default*, *discrepancy*, *approximately*, *inherited*, and *non-compliance* have been identified and examined in their textual contexts as per following concordance lines;

- 1. Through the application *adjournment* of two weeks is sought to present the record (File 12)
- 2. The applicant is not involved in any type of tax evasion (File 10)



- 3. All the assets have been declared in wealth statement and no *concealment* has been committed (File 05)
- 4. The business position has remained *unexplained* in your reply (File 18)
- 5. I have been running an extremely *nominal* business in the far flung village (File 21)
- 6. The *default* surcharge is not applicable in my case as I have timely filed the tax deduction statement (File 02)
- 7. During the filing of tax return, this *discrepancy* has created problem (File 22)
- 8. The per month income from business is approximately under taxable limit (File 09)
- 9. I have *inherited* this property and same has been declared in my tax returns (File 17)
- 10. An opportunity was given, *non-compliance* has led to the penalty (File 12)

These terms are not merely frequent but they reveal a consistent pattern of linguistic manipulation employed to deflect scrutiny, obscure financial truths, and mitigate legal liability. The contextual analysis of these lexical choices demonstrates how taxpayers strategically utilize ambiguous or euphemistic language in order to construct the alternative narratives and to minimize the perceived wrongdoings in context of taxation. For instance the *adjournment* is the linguistic trick in order to delay the taxation process. Likewise, the word *nominal* s been employed to show the insignificance of the business activity to lessen the tax liabilities. Moreover, the deviation from the prescribed law of tax has been termed as a rare discrepancy. Additionally, upon questioning about an asset, the term *inherited* shows the aspect of responsibility shifting to deviate from the payment of due taxes. Furthermore, the word *approximately* has been strategically employed to show the uncertainty about the income which is also a devised strategy. Hence, these terms suggest the intentional language manipulation incorporated in the tax discourse.

4.2 Phraseological Patterns

Complementing the lexical analysis, the strategically employed specific phraseological patterns have also been identified in the corpus. These phrases also depict the instances of the use of avoidance relevant terminology incorporated in the corpus. These phrases have often appeared in vague or undefined contexts, serving as linguistic shields to evade precise financial disclosure. The table below presents the instances of the use of these phraseological patterns found in the corpus indicative of linguistic manipulation to attain the financial interests.

Table: 3 Phrases Showing Linguistic Trickeries

Sr	Phrasal Outline	Frequency	Contextual Connotation
No.			
01	pre-commencement	186	overstating business expenditures
	expenses		
02	income of every kind	165	unspecified incomes
03	no other source	141	hiding other incomes
04	time constraints	139	claiming time limitations
05	precious possessions	127	unidentified assets

Table enlisted some of the most commonly used phrases such as *pre-commencement expenses*, *income of every kind*; *no other source, time constraints*, and *precious possessions* etc. have been noted. The concordance lines have explained the contextual use of these commonly used phrases in the corpus as;

- 11. I have incurred the *pre-commencement expenses* in the business of one million (File 07)
- 12. The applicant has declared *income of every kind* in his tax statement (File 03)
- 13. The Taxpayer has *no other source* of income except declared in tax return (File 04)
- 14. Due to time constraints the tax return could not be filed (File 02)



15. All the *precious possessions* held by me have been shown in return of total income and asset (File 19)

The above stated context specific phrases indicate the use of linguistic trickery to avoid taxation process or to tackle the tax liabilities for the taxable financial deeds. These include the use of pre-commencement expenses claimed by the businessmen before the actual start of the business activity which is usually the language based trick to overstate or misrepresent the expenses. Likewise, no other source is the claim of the taxpayer that is usually adopted in the tax related communications to make the focus of the tax monitoring authorities on one income sources as evident in the corpus of this study. Likewise the phrase precious possessions without specifying the nature and form of the asset are also an attempt to mislead the tax authorities. These phraseological patterns have been investigated on linguistic lines to highlight the recurrent linguistic expressions designed to mislead or to obscure the financial facts with reference to the process of taxation with the intent to deceive. The repeated invocation of such expressions without adequate elaboration indicates a deliberate attempt to blur the lines between legitimate and suspicious claims in financial declarations. These phrases, mapped through stated concordance lines, reveal the systematic patterns of the mystification, a rhetorical move in the tax related communications to complicate or delay the financial transparency.

4.3 Use of Quantifiers

At the tax discourse level, the analysis of the corpus suggests that the taxpayers often employ various other linguistic strategies to disguise the authorities through the language use as evident in the corpus. Another key aspect in this regard is the use of quantifiers such as *some*, *few*, *certain*, and *others* to introduce vagueness and downplay the magnitude of the financial details. The table presents the occurrence of some of these types of quantifiers giving their context of use as follows;

Table: 4 Use of Quantifiers Creating Vagueness

Text	Left	Node	Right
03	during the above working days due to	some	private engagements I could not
06	it is stated that because I sold out	some	portion of land in Rs. 10 Million
08	the fact that I made an investment	few	years ago in shape of plaza
09	most of the shops are still vacant and	few	have been rented out but the rent
13	presenting the books of accounts and	certain	other documents for your
18	in addition to the declared income	certain	other income have been acquired
21	i own the business and some	others	are the shareholders in it
24	in context of the investments	others	have cooperated monetarily

Table presents the contextual use of some of the quantifiers in the corpus with left and right nodes representing the surrounding context of the use of these quantifiers in the corpus. It indicates the aspect of linguistic deception through the creation of the ambiguity and indistinctness in the language. The concordance lines acquired from the corpus further clarify the use of these quantifiers in the corpus.

- 16. Income has been acquired from *some* other sources in this year (File 21)
- 17. The complete property is not rented out, few shops are still vacant (File 13)
- 18. Copies of *certain* invoices have already been provided to the office (File 19)

The findings are supported by the instances of concordance lines that mapped their occurrence within the corpus, providing contextual evidence of the linguistic evasion. The linguistic analysis of the use of these quantifiers in the corpus demonstrates that such expressions function as hedges and deflection devices, in the text pertaining to the financial affairs preventing clear attribution of the assets or income in relation to the tax matters.

4.4 Collocational Structures

Further a more specific layer of the analysis examined the lexical combinations and the collocational structures occurring in the corpus of the study. It contains the word pairs that occur together in the corpus and are indicative of the linguistic trickeries adopted by the taxpayers in their tax related communications. Such collocation patterns suggest institutionalized linguistic routines developed to sidestep the aspect of compliance with respect to tax affairs.

Table: 5 Word Collocation Patterns

Sr No.	Collocation Pattern	Frequency	Contextual Connotation
01	concealed income	243	obscured income
02	foreign remittance	233	justification of an asset or
			income
03	exempt income	211	claiming tax relief
04	double taxation	203	challenging tax levied
05	tax adjustments	196	demanding modification

The table presents the instances and frequency of the collocations patterns from the corpus which indicates the aspect of language based trickeries incorporated by the taxpayers in their financial statements on regular basis. These include the word pairs such as *concealed* with *income* often used in tax communications. Collocation pattern of *foreign* with *remittance* is another a linguistic ploy used to justify an asset or an income upon queries raised by tax authorities. Further the claim of *exempt income* is another strategy used by the taxpayers to claim the tax relief as is used as collocates. Likewise, the collocations such as the *double taxation* and *tax adjustments* are recurrent and often appear in contexts lacking substantiating documentation with respect to tax affairs.

- 19. Income from property is termed as your concealed income during the year (File 14)
- 20. The income is acquired as *foreign remittance* sent by my family (File 11)
- 21. Agriculture income is the *exempt income* claimed in the tax return (File 23)
- 22. The levied cess comes under the ambit of *double taxation* and against the law (File 15)
- 23. Income assessed during the *tax year* cannot be ascertained as taxable income (File 13) The stated collocates are analyzed via concordance lines acquired from the corpus and has been found to form the part of a wider discursive strategy that regulates evasion related terminology using these collocation patterns. It transpires that the corpus presents the instances of collocates with the aspect of deception as is evident in the concordance lines. Further, exploration into *n-grams* (unigrams, bigrams, and trigrams) revealed high frequency sequences of words in the corpus like income as unigram, *taxable income* as bigram, *income declared* as bigram and *concealment of income* as trigram. Most of these n-grams sequence indicate the aspects of linguistic tricks used in the communication patterns. These are not only statistically significant but also contextually revealing, frequently appearing in attempts to justify, dilute, or deflect attention from non-compliant behavior of the taxpayers in taxation affairs.

4.5 Nominalization

The aspect of nominalization, which is a rhetorical technique where verbs or adjectives are converted into abstract nouns is another language related strategy used to bureaucratize and depersonalize statements (e.g. *submission*, *compliance*, *declaration*). Especially, these are negatively prefixed nominalizations mostly occur in tax discourse making corpus of this study like *non-compliance*, *non-submission*, *non-filing* or *non-submission* etc. These instances of nominalization in the corpus indicate the intentional inaction framed within impersonal legal terminology. These features are dissected not only through concordance and situational



contexts but also within their syntactic and discursive environments, offering forensic insights into how such constructions serve evasion and language based deception.

Table: 6 Use of Nominalization in Tax Corpus

Sr No.	Nominalized Form	Frequency	Contextual Use
01	non-compliance	196	failure to comply with legal requirements
02	non-submission	192	failure to submit requisite details
03	non-declaration	179	hiding of financial facts
04	non-deduction	163	failure to collect due taxes
05	exemption	157	claiming tax relief

The table above presents the nominalized forms of specific terms that show the aspect of deception with respect to tax matters which suggest the intentional failure to comply with the legal requirements under relevant law. It includes hiding of the facts, claiming irrelevant tax relief and failure to provide the requisitioned details. This shows the language based trickery incorporated by the taxpayers. The following concordance lines have been extracted from the corpus indicating the use of nominalization in the tax related discourse.

- 24. In *compliance* of the subsequent notices the return of income has been filed (File 11)
- 25. The tax statement *submission* has been carried out as per legal requirement (File 24)
- 26. Every income and asset has been shown in the *declaration* statements need no further probe (File 18)

4.6 Negation Markers

Negation markers are among the other prominent linguistic strategies observed in the corpus. Taxpayers frequently employed negation markers to reject ownership, responsibility, or association with specific financial entities or transactions as evident in the corpus. Expressions such as *not liable*, *no record found*, or *not declared* are common and served as linguistic denial mechanisms in corpus of the study. Conversely, the tax authorities also employ negation to formally register defaults and non-fulfilment of statutory obligations. The use of these markers has been examined through corpus evidence and plotted to reveal distribution across the dataset.

Table: 7 Use of Negation Markers for Denials

Negation Marker	Frequency	Functional Intent	Contextual Use
no	484	negating wrongdoings	denying the claim
not	368	denial of a charge	rejecting the queries
never	354	strong denial	denial in investigations
neither	127	complete rejection	denial in probes
nor	127	subsequent refutation	succeeding refutation

The above table indicates the types and frequency of the negation markers used in the corpus. The high frequency of these negation markers show that negation is used as a linguistic trick in tax communications. The concordance lines taken up from the corpus has presented a clear picture of the context in which these negative markers have been used. The concordances show the possible intent of the taxpayers to negate or refute the tax liabilities by augmenting through the use of negation markers.

- 27. The applicant was *not* liable to pay any additional tax or penalty (File 21)
- 28. The business has been ordinary and **no** record found to have been maintained (File 11)
- 29. Taxpayer *neither* attended the office *nor* brought any record (File 14)
- 30. Taxpayer was *not* given the opportunity of being heard by the office (File 23)

The concordance lines show the context in which negation is used by the taxpayers to dissociate themselves from the tax liabilities. Also the negation is used by the tax authorities in



highlighting the default made by the taxpayers in relation to complying with the legal provisions of tax law.

4.7 Hedging Devices

Hedging devices emerge as another critical discursive feature that works as one of the linguistic strategies in the legal domains such as tax matters. The taxpayers have been using the modal verbs, adverbs and adjectives, verbs, nouns and introductory clauses (e.g. *suggests*, *believe*, *it appears*, *may have been*, *likely to*, *some concerns exist* etc.) to soften assertions, create ambiguity, and distance themselves from some sort of liability. The corpus has been richly abundant in these types of hedging markers that indicate the possibility of linguistic ambiguity. Tax authorities, in contrast, also use hedging to maintain a measured tone while indicating possible non-compliance without premature judgment during the taxation process.

Table: 8 Use of Hedging Devices showing Uncertainty

Sr No.	Hedging device	Frequency	Contextual Use
01	believe	321	consideration of the matter to make
			decision
02	may	301	showing possibility of something
03	likely	290	expected outcome of the events
04	appears	259	guessing the consequences
05	relatively	223	comparison with apparent facts

Table highlights the commonly used hedging devices found in the corpus with their situational use in tax related communications. It is apparent that these hedging devices are the intentional use of linguistic trickery to create the possibility or uncertainty in the communication of taxation. These hedging devices can be termed as intended linguistic tricks incorporated through the use of such linguistic forms in order to achieve the strategic linguistic targets. These delicate rhetorical moves are supported by the concordance evidences from the corpus, revealing an overarching strategy of communicative diplomacy intended to manage legal and reputational risk with respect to taxation.

- 31. It is a definite proof to *believe* that the taxpayers has not committed any crime (File 11)
- 32. The mistakes may have been committed unintentionally while declarations (File 15)
- 33. My claim of business expenses is *likely to* be accepted based on narrated facts (File 18)
- 34. It *appears* that the tax office has not followed the law with respect to exemptions (File 22)

The concordance lines evidently show that the taxpayers make use of these hedges to show the vagueness or unclearness in the communications which is usually the language based strategy employed to create confusions. Also these hedging devices are intentional to confuse and mislead the tax monitors with respect to taxation affairs.

4.8 Politeness Strategies

Politeness strategies are also extensively deployed in the tax related communications, particularly by the taxpayers seeking leniency or concessions from the tax monitoring authorities as found in the tax corpus. Phrases such as respectfully submitted, kindly consider, presented for perusal, has been filed and humbly requested reflect the strategical politeness intended to influence the tone and direction of enforcement actions. In the corpus pertaining to this study, such expressions have been identified in high frequency which function as the linguistic appeals and are frequently used in legal tax correspondence to defuse tension and create an impression of cooperation during communication process which is not intended but used just as a language based trickery. These politeness markers, though courteous on the surface, often veiled more serious underlying discrepancies.



- 35. The tax return for the tax year has already been *submitted* in the office (File 17)
- 36. All the requisite record will be *presented* for onward consideration please (File 22)
- 37. It is respectfully submitted to accept the version of the taxpayer in this regard (File 19)
- 38. In compliance of the order the statements have been *duly filed* within due time (File 11)
- 39. It is *requested* to drop the penalty proceeding initiated against me for this default (File 16)

The concordance lines of these politeness strategies depict the contextual use of these types of terms to obtain the designed results through language manipulation. Instances from the corpus are presented under these concordance lines that depict the use of politeness strategies employed in tax related communications just to soften the environment and seek cooperation and support from the tax authorities with respect to taxation matters.

4.9 The Modality Context

The modality context encompassing modal verbs expressing possibility, necessity, obligation, and prohibition further illuminates the power dynamics and rhetorical intentions of the entities of the process within the discourse. Modal verbs like *must*, *should*, *may*, *might*, and *shall* are extensively found in the corpus to carry significant legal weightage in legal processes. Taxpayers often leaned toward modals of possibility and permission in the tax corpus, while tax authorities used modals of obligation and prohibition to assert regulatory authority upon the taxpayers.

These distinctions, contextualized through forensic linguistic analysis, offered a deeper understanding of how language constructs asymmetries in legal power. The claim is supported by the concordance evidences from the corpus.

- 40. Another opportunity to revise the return *may* please be given in this case (File 12)
- 41. Taxpayer *might* get a huge loss if the assessment is completed on ex-parte basis (File 19)
- 42. You *must* file your reply along with evidences on or before the prescribed date (File 11)
- 43. No more time for the response *shall* be allowed after this (File 23)
- 44. It *should* also be noted that failing to comply with the directions, you may catch penalty under relevant provisions of law (File 11)

The concordance lines show that the use of modals by the taxpayers is deliberate to create a vagueness and unclearness in tax matters. On the other hand, tax authorities also make use of these modals to enforce the law based on the standardized legal language. This suggests that the use of modals are quite common in tax related communications.

4.10 Conditional Structures

Conditional structures have also been particularly used in the tax discourse. These include the use of terms like *if*, *whether*, and *in case* etc. These structures are another common feature in the legal tax corpus. These conditional constructions allowed taxpayers to frame arguments with hypothetical or contingent clauses, often employed to introduce uncertainty or to dilute the aspect of accountability during the communications. Such conditional phrasing strategically complicates the factual assertions and enables the taxpayers to navigate regulatory boundaries without firm commitments. This contention has been supported by the instances of the concordance lines extracted from the corpus.

- 45. It is established fact that *if* the taxpayer fails to deduct tax it is considered offense under tax law (File 09)
- 46. *In case* the tax return filing of the individual fails within the due date, it may invoke the penalty proceedings (File 24)
- 47. The scrutiny of the record has been done *whether* the taxpayer complied to the legal requirements *or* otherwise (File 13)



4.11 Legal Jargon

Legal jargon is also frequently employed in the corpus as an important linguistic strategy, with both parties' taxpayers and the tax authorities utilizing specialized vocabulary to reinforce institutional authority or to obscure clarity. Taxpayers often appropriate technical terminology not for its precision, but to create the intended confusion and disrupt interpretability in the communication. Phrases like assessment proceedings, statutory obligation, deduction under section, and exempt income appeared routinely and are critically analyzed for their role in rhetorical deflection based on their concordance lines in the text.

- 48. Without giving me an opportunity assessment proceedings have been completed (File 18)
- 49. There was an income that was *exempt income* as per law so not included in return (File 21)
- 50. Filing statement of tax is a *statutory obligation* that has been complied duly (File 24) The use of legal jargons is another key aspect of language use found in tax corpus that is indicative of linguistic trickeries incorporated in tax matters. This factor makes the language more complicated and complex for the participants to understand which is a deliberate attempt of creation of a gulf in the communications related to tax matters.

4.12 Use of Obfuscation

The use of obfuscation is common in the discourse wherein language is deliberately made complex or ambiguous in order to attain some specified results. It is quite evident in the phrases of corpus such as *income from other sources*, *such deductions*, *some deductions*, and *certain transactions* etc. These expressions, lacking specificity, are used to mystify the actual financial dealings and introduce the deliberate uncertainty in the communications. It has been observed that their strategic deployment serves to frustrate investigative clarity and hence revealing a deliberate manipulation of the discourse to mislead or delay during the taxation process for vested goals of language users.

- 51. *Income from other sources* have been specified in the relevant section of return (File 01)
- 52. There have been *certain transactions* during the tax year that lessen the taxable income (File 22)
- 53. Taxpayer has claimed *such deductions* that are admissible under law (File 03)

Concordance lines above suggest that the use of obfuscated terms by the taxpayers in their replies show the manipulation of financial facts through the aspect of indirectness, which makes ambiguity in communication. Thus by not giving precise detail the intent shown in tax related matters is deviation and avoidance from tax liabilities.

4.13 Passivization

Passivization is another linguistic technique employed for the use of the passive constructions to obscure agency and deflecting the responsibility through the use of language cues (e.g., returns were not filed, the matter was overlooked, have been found failed to file etc.). This syntactic choice removes the actor from the sentence and presents actions as impersonal or accidental, thereby softening the perceived intent behind the committed non-compliance. It is one of the most commonly used linguistic strategies by the taxpayers in their financial communications. This assertion is supported by the concordance lines that show the context in which this linguistic trick has been incorporated.

- 54. I was not given an appropriate opportunity to present my case which was mandatory under relevant provisions of law (File 25)
- 55. *The matter was overlooked by the taxpayer* (File 15)



56. Tax returns were not filed by the applicant due to unforeseen circumstances (File 12) The use of Passivization is shown in the lines above which is used by the taxpayers as another linguistic trick to make the matter more complex by making use of language passivization. In this way the possible intent remains deviation and avoidance from tax liabilities.

4.14 Euphemism

Euphemism is another salient linguistic feature that also plays a central role in the tax discourse. Taxpayers often replace direct admissions of the default or evasion with softer terms like nominal revenue, clerical error, tax planning, adjustments, misappropriation, and inadvertent omission etc. Euphemistic language, while appearing conciliatory, strategically downplays the serious irregularities in the financial documents by the taxpayers. Interestingly, tax authorities also used some specific euphemism cues in their official communication, often to encourage voluntary compliance without overt confrontation. This claim is supported by the help of the concordance lines illustrated from the tax corpus.

- 57. Due to the *nominal income* the return of the period could not be filed (File 19)
- 58. The overstated income in the tax statement was a *clerical error* which needs the rectification (File 17)
- 59. It was an *inadvertent omission* of the other source of income in may tax return for the tax year under discussion (File 22)
- 60. Taxpayer claimed the *adjustment* of the taxes already paid under different heads of incomes but these adjustments are inadmissible under tax law. (File 14)

The concordance lines show the use of euphemism in the corpus showing the language based trickery in communications. It is evident that this aspect makes the language multifaceted and technical and not easy to be comprehended. Thus the possible intent behind the use of euphemism is creation of confusion through the use of the language. It is one of the most commonly used linguistic deception strategy in tax communications.

CONCLUSION

This study deals with a complete investigation of the language based strategies adopted by the tax evaders through misleading use of language in tax-related discourse in Pakistan. By thoroughly categorizing the recurring language structures, this analysis investigates the methods in which language is deliberately employed to ease the tax evasion. Outside just identifying these language forms, this study places a robust importance on understanding their background practice, shedding light on how such structures are purposely used to unclear the financial truth and avoid legal accountability. An essential feature of the analysis is to recognize the detailed categories of trickery that are maintained through linguistic use. Important approaches recognized in the corpus contain the use of specific keywords, specific phrases, nominalization, hedging, euphemisms and modal verbs. All of these add to create the vagueness and obliqueness. These language based methods contribute to deliberately obscure the precision of the text, letting the taxpayers to manipulate their fiscal actions and to decrease the tax responsibilities. In this regard, these linguistic approaches work as intentional tools of the tax evasion, facilitating the taxpayers to avoid the legal responsibilities and obligations. Furthermore, this study discovers that how these communication tactics are not just working for language trickery but are also strategically planned to attain precise and specific aims for the taxpayers in order to lessen their tax liabilities. The usage of planned communication methods is meant to influence the key participants involved in the taxation process, which includes tax accountants, auditors, law courts and the tax authorities. Language based tactics such as politeness, obfuscation, minimization, legal jargon and persuasion tactic, the taxpayers mold their tax related communications in such ways that delicately shift attention, create ambiguity, doubt or soften the apparent harshness of their actions. Outside specific keywords



and phrasal outlines, the broader discourse forms have also been recognized within the tax corpus pertaining to this study. These aspects include strategies such as the exaggeration of business losses, fake income reclassification, exaggerated expenditure claims and recurrent statements of tax immunities, tax rebates, tax adjustments and other strategic tax exemptions. Thus the study has tried to highlight the language strategies incorporated by the taxpayers to attain the deviation from the payment of tax liabilities. It has also highlighted the forensic relevance in uncovering the language based trickeries to be able to tackle the matter. On the basis of the narrated facts and considering the occurrences in the tax related discourse, it has been observed that taxpayers in Pakistan employ a diverse range of linguistic strategies within the taxation process. A number of these strategies have been identified, examined, and critically analyzed in alignment with the research questions guiding this study. The study reveals the intricate and complex language based trickery rooted in tax oriented corpus, showing intentional linguistic cues intended for directing lawful fiscal outlines. Based on both the corpus assisted analysis and incorporating ideologies of the forensic linguistics, this study demonstrates how language is deliberately constructed to achieve legal and fiscal objectives. The findings of the study highlight important connections between the language, the law and the aspect of deception. It also highlights the worth of language based proof in both criminal and monitoring settings.

REFERENCES

- Ahmed, S. (2019). Linguistic strategies used by taxpayers to conceal financial information. Journal of Forensic Linguistics, 15(3), 45-60.
- Asia, M., Malik, F., & Tariq, A. (2019). Passive voice and tax evasion: A linguistic analysis of evasion tactics in Pakistan. International Journal of Taxation and Linguistics, 7(1), 33-47.
- Business, D. (2024). World Bank Group. línea]. Disponible en.
- Bukhari, F. A., & Haq, Z. (2014). *Tax evasion in Pakistan: Political economy and corporate* structures. Journal of Business and Economic Policy, 1(4), 57-62.
- Coulthard, M., & Johnson, A. (2007). The role of forensic linguistics in legal contexts: Insights and applications. Journal of Forensic Linguistics, 14(2), 144-158.
- Das, S., & Dey, R. (2019). Tax consultants and the use of language to manipulate tax obligations. Financial Crimes Review, 10(2), 79-92.
- Farooq, R., & Mirza, S. (2020). *Inconsistent narratives and linguistic manipulation in tax evasion cases: A case study from Pakistan*. Taxation and Linguistics, 9(4), 58-72.
- Fitzpatrick, P. (2012). Linguistic analysis in detecting tax evasion: A forensic perspective. Journal of Economic and Financial Crimes, 5(2), 122-135.
- Khan, M. (2021). The passive voice and its role in tax evasion: A study of language tactics in International Journal of Forensic Linguistics, 12(1), 99-112.
- Khan, M., & Ali, S. (2021). Falsification and linguistic strategies in tax evasion: A case study from Pakistan. Journal of Financial Fraud and Deception, 18(1), 71-85.
- Levi, M., & Suddle, M. (1989). The reduction, avoidance or the delaying of tax liability. *Journal of Financial Crimes*, 2(2), 67-82
- Li, H. (2008). Corporate tax evasion and the role of ambiguous financial documents. Journal of Financial Crime, 15(4), 382-392.
- Rashid, M. (2020). Corporate tax evasion in Pakistan: A linguistic analysis of fiscal jargons. Journal of Economic Crime, 14(2), 65-79.
- Shah, R. (2019). Strategic ambiguity in business tax statements: A linguistic analysis of financial deception in Pakistan. Journal of Business Law and Linguistics, 11(3), 60-73.
- Siddiqui, M., & Qureshi, S. (2017). Linguistic aspects of tax evasion in Pakistan: A case study of Karachi. Linguistics and Law Journal, 22(1), 54-68.